UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/778,917	02/08/2001	Takashi Ikemori	1466.1026	5837
	4600		<u>, </u>	
21171 STAAS & HA	7590 10/30/2007 LSEY LLP	EXAMINER		
SUITE 700		PLUCINSKI, JAMISUE A		
WASHINGTO	PRK AVENUE, N.W. N. DC 20005	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3629	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
,			10/30/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/778,917	IKEMORI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jamisue A. Plucinski	3629	

	Jamisue A. Plucinski	3629					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 05 October 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
NOTICE OF APPEAL	nliaman with 27 OFD 44 27 mount for	filed within two month	na af tha data af				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed. 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
AMENDMENTS							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further compared to the compared to the	but prior to the date of filing a prier,	, will <u>not</u> be entered b TE below):	ecause				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		i L below),					
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	illowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will will be will will be will be will will be will will be wi	ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to:			t				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>2-5,7-9 and 18</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	at bufour on a the data. (Claus Al	- t' f A 1 30					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	·		•				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered be	ut does NOT place the application is	n condition for allows	nce hecouse:				
See Continuation Sheet.			nce because.				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. Other:	(F 10/36/00) Paper NO(S). 2007 10	<u>.</u>					
TO. [1] Outer							

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's have added the claim limitation of "a privledge" into the independent claims. Even though this has been considered before in a dependent claim, this limitation has not been considered with the combination of other dependent claims (i.e. claims 2 and 3). The applicant is also arguing the patentability of the claims based on this limitation, therefore indicating the claim limitation is not merely for clarification purposes..

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: As stated above, the applicant is arguing the the claim in terms of the newly added claim recitation of "the priviledge". This amendment is not being entered therefore arguments in terms of this claim limitation in terms of the independent claim are not considered to be persuasive. With respect to Applicant's argument that consignment relay stations cannot be considered equivalent to convience stores. It should be pointed out that the limitation of whether it is a consitnment relay station, or a convienent store is considered non-functional data and intended use. The method still be performed the same, and the apparatus would be the same no mater what the location was "named" and considered to only be descriptive of the location the receiver receives the parcel.